

Global Attractivity Results For Neutral Functional Differential Equations In Banac Algebras

S.N.Salunkhe

Rani Dayabai college, Parola (Dist.Jalgaon,MHS), Email-saisns@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove the existence theorem and global attractivity solutions for neutral functional differential equations in Banac algebras by using the characterizations of measures of noncompactness and a fixed point theorem. The investigation are placed in the Banac space of real functions defined, continuous and bounded on interval.

Keywords: Global attractivity, Neutral functional differential equations, Fixed point theorem, Measures of noncompactness.

1. Statement of problem

Let \mathbb{R} denote the real line and let $I_0 = [-r, 0]$ and $I = [0, a]$ be closed and bounded intervals in \mathbb{R} . Let $J = I_0 \cup I$, then J is closed and bounded interval in \mathbb{R} . Let C denote the Banac space of all continuous real valued functions ϕ on I_0 with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_C$ defined by

$$\|\phi\|_C = \sup_{t \in I_0} |\phi(t)|$$

Clearly C is Banac algebra with this norm. Consider the first order neutral functional differential equation (in short FDE)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{x(t)}{f(t, x(t), x_t)} \right] = g(t, x(t), x_t), \quad a.e. \ t \in I \quad (1.1)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in I_0$$

Where $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, $g: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for each $t \in I$, $x_t: I_0 \rightarrow C$ is continuous function defined by $x_t := x(t + \theta)$ for all $\theta \in I_0$.

By a solution of FDE (1.1) we mean a function $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap AC(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C(I_0, \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies the equation in (1.1), where $AC(I, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of all absolutely continuous real valued functions on J .

2. Auxiliary Results

We mention some concepts concerning the measures of noncompactness [1,2] in Banach spaces.

Denote by $\overline{B}_r(x)$ the closed ball centered at x and with radius r . Thus $\overline{B}_r(0)$ is the closed ball centered at origin of radius r . Assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is an infinite dimensional Banach space with zero elements θ . If X is subset of E then the symbols \overline{X} , $\text{conv}X$ stand for the closure and closed convex hull of X , respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{bd}(E)$ the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of E and by $\mathcal{P}_{rcp}(E)$ its subfamily consisting of all relatively compact subsets of E .

The measures of noncompactness defined as following which is appears in Banas and Goebel [1].

Definition (2.1): The mapping $\mu: \mathcal{P}_{bd}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ is said to be a measures of noncompactness in E if it satisfies the following conditions.

- The family $\text{Ker}\mu = \{X \in \mathcal{P}_{bd}(E) / \mu(X) = 0\} \neq \phi$ and $\text{Ker}\mu \subset \mathcal{P}_{bd}(E)$.
- $X \subset Y \Rightarrow \mu(X) \leq \mu(Y)$.
- $\mu(\overline{X}) = \mu(X)$.
- $\mu(\text{conv}X) = \mu(X)$.
- $\mu(\lambda X + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda\mu(X) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(Y)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.
- If (X_n) is sequence of closed sets from $\mathcal{P}_{bd}(E)$ such that $X_{n+1} \subset X_n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X_n) = 0$, then the intersection set $X_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is nonempty.

Also $\text{Ker}\mu$ given in 'a' is said to be the kernel of the measures of noncompactness μ . And from 'f' by observation set X_∞ is the member of family $\text{Ker}\mu$. In fact, for any n ,

$$\mu(X) \leq \mu(X_n). \text{ We infers that } \mu.$$

We will used following fixed point theorem of Darbo type in the sequel (Banas 2).

Theorem (2.2): Let Ω be a nonempty, bounded, and convex subset of the Banac space E and let $F: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be continuous mapping. Assume that $\exists k \in [0, 1)$ such that $\mu(FX) \leq k\mu(X)$ for any nonempty subset X of Ω . Then F has a fixed point in the set Ω .

Remark (2.3): Let the set of all fixed points of the operator F denoted by $F_{ix}F$ which belongs to Ω and also belongs to the family $\text{Ker}\mu$. (see .1).

We will be considered the Banac space $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of all real valued functions $x = x(t)$ defined continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R} with the supremum norm

$$\|x\| = \sup|x(t)|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.4)$$

To define the measures of noncompactness, let us fix a nonempty and bounded subset X of the space $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and number $T > 0$, for $x \in X$, $\epsilon \geq 0$ denote by $\omega^T(x, \epsilon)$ the modulus of continuity of the function x on the interval $[0, T]$ i.e.

$$\omega^T(x, \epsilon) = \{|x(t) - x(s)|: t, s \in [0, T], |t - s| \leq \epsilon\}$$

Next, let us put

$$\omega^T(X, \epsilon) = \sup\{\omega^T(x, \epsilon) : x \in X\}$$

$$\omega^T(X) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(X, \epsilon)$$

$$\omega_0(X) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0^T(X)$$

Now, for a fixed number $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let us denote

$$X(t) = \{x(t) : x \in X\}$$

And,

$$\text{diam}X(t) = \sup|x(t) - y(t)| \quad x, y \in X$$

Finally, let us consider the function μ defined on the family $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ by the formula

$$\mu(X) = \omega_0(X) + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \text{diam}(X(t)) \quad (2.5)$$

The function μ is a measure of noncompactness in the space $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ (see Banas[2]).

The $\text{Ker}\mu$ of this measure consists nonempty and bounded subsets X of $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that functions from X are locally equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} , by functions from $X \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ . This characteristic of $\text{Ker}\mu$ has been utilized in establishing the global attractivity of solutions.

We will be used that let us assume that Ω is a nonempty subset of the space $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, let Q be an operator defined on Ω with values in $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the operator equation of the form

$$X(t) = Qx(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.6)$$

Definition (2.7); we say that solutions of the equation (2.5) are locally attractivity if there exists a ball $\bar{B}r(x_0)$ in $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that for arbitrary solutions $x = x(t)$ and $y = y(t)$ of equation (2.5) belonging to $\bar{B}r(x_0) \cap \Omega$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [x(t) - y(t)] = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

When for each $\epsilon > 0, \exists T > 0$ such that

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \epsilon \quad (2.8)$$

For all $x, y \in \bar{B}r(x_0) \cap \Omega$ being solution of (2.6) is said to globally attractive if (2.7) holds for each solution $y = y(t)$ of (2.6). If for every $\epsilon > 0, \exists T > 0$ such that the inequality (2.8) is satisfied for all $x, y \in \Omega$ being solution of (2.6) and for $t \geq T$, we will say that solutions of the equation (2.6) are uniformly globally attractive. The concept of attractivity of solutions was introduced in [8] while the concept of global attractivity of solution given in the paper [4] and [8].

3. Existence Theory

We will use the following hypotheses in the sequel

(H₁) The functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = \infty$.

(H₂) The function $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is continuous and there exist bounded function $k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with bound L such that

$$|f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)| \leq \ell(t) \max \{|x_1 - x_2|, \|y_1 - y_2\|_C\}, \quad a. e. \ t \in I$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y_1, y_2 \in C$.

(H₃) The function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F(t) = |f(t, 0, 0)|$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} with

$$F_0 = \sup_{t \geq 0} F(t)$$

(H₄) The function $g: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there exist functions $a, b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

such that $|g(t, x, y)| \leq a(t)b(t)$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, we assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds = 0$$

4. Main Result:

Theorem (4.1): Assume that the hypotheses (H₁) through (H₄) hold. Furthermore, if $k < 1$ where $k = \sup_{t \geq 0} a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds$, then the equation (1.1) has at least one uniformly globally attractive solution on J.

Proof: Now the FDE (1.1) is equivalent to the functional integral equation (in short FIE)

$$x(t) = [f(t, x(t), x_t)] \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \quad \text{if } t \in I \quad (4.2)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{if } t \in I_0 \quad (4.3)$$

Let set $X = BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the operator Q defined on the space $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ by the formula

$$Qx(t) = [f(t, x(t), x_t)] \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \quad (4.4)$$

By our hypothesis, for any function $x \in X$, the function Qx is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Since the function $v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$v(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds \quad (4.5)$$

is continuous and by hypothesis (H₄), the number $v_0 = \sup_{t \geq 0} v(t)$ exists. Moreover, for arbitrarily fixed $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Qx(t)| &\leq |f(t, x(t), x_t)| \left(\|\phi\|_c + \left| \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right| \right) \\
 &\leq [|f(t, x(t), x_t) - f(t, 0, 0)| + |f(t, 0, 0)|] \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + \int_0^t |g(t, x(s), x_s)| ds \right) \\
 |x(t)| &\leq [\ell(t) \max\{|x(t)|, \|x_t\|_c\} + F] \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + \int_0^t |g(t, x(s), x_s)| ds \right) \\
 &\leq \ell(t) \max\{|x(t)|, \|x_t\|_c\} \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + \int_0^t |g(t, x(s), x_s)| ds \right) \\
 &\quad + F \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + \int_0^t |g(t, x(s), x_s)| ds \right) \\
 &\leq L \max\{|x(t)|, \|x_t\|_c\} \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds \right) \\
 &\quad + F \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds \right) \\
 &\leq L \max\{|x(t)|, \|x_t\|_c\} (\|\phi\|_c + v(t)) + F\|\phi\|_c + Fv(t) \\
 |x(t)| &\leq L|x(t)|(\|\phi\|_c + v(t)) + F\|\phi\|_c + Fv(t) \\
 |x(t)| &\left(1 - L(\|\phi\|_c + v(t)) \right) \leq F\|\phi\|_c + Fv(t) \\
 |x(t)| &\leq \frac{F\|\phi\|_c}{1 - L(\|\phi\|_c + v(t))} + \frac{Fv(t)}{1 - L(\|\phi\|_c + v(t))} \\
 &\leq \frac{F(\|\phi\|_c + v(t))}{1 - L(\|\phi\|_c + v(t))} = \frac{F_0 v_0}{1 - Lv_0}
 \end{aligned}$$

For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, taking the supremum over t , where $v_0 = \|\phi\|_c + v(t)$ supremum over t .
 We have

$$\|Qx\| \leq \frac{F_0 v_0}{1 - Lv_0} \quad \text{for all } x \in X \tag{4.5}$$

This implies that operator Q transforms the space X into itself. Also from (4.5)

We get that the operator Q transforms the space X into the ball $\bar{B}r(0)$, where $r = \frac{F_0 v_0}{1 - Lv_0}$, $Lv_0 \leq 1$

as result Q defines a mapping $Q: \bar{B}r(0) \rightarrow \bar{B}r(0)$.

Now we have to show that the operator Q is continuous on the ball $\bar{B}r(0)$, let us fixed arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, and take $x, y \in \bar{B}r(0)$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon$. Then

$$|Qx(t) - Qy(t)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left| f(t, x(t), x_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - f(t, y(t), y_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, y(s), y_s) ds \right) \right| \\
 &\leq \left| f(t, x(t), x_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - f(t, y(t), y_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| f(t, y(t), y_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - f(t, y(t), y_t) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, y(s), y_s) ds \right) \right| \\
 &\leq [|f(t, x(t), x_t) - f(t, y(t), y_t)|] \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^t g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) + [|f(t, y(t), y_t) - \\
 &\quad f(t, 0, 0)| + |f(t, 0, 0)|] \left(\int_0^t [|g(t, x(s), x_s)| + |g(t, x(s), x_s)|] ds \right) \\
 &\leq \left[\ell(t) \max\{|x - y|, \|x_t - y_t\|_c\} \times \left(\|\phi\|_c + a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + [\ell(t) \max\{|y(t)|\} + F_0] 2a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds \\
 &\leq LM(\|\phi\|_c + v(t)) + [L\|y\| + F_0] 2v(t) \\
 &\leq LK\epsilon + 2[Lr + F_0]v(t) \quad \text{where } K = \frac{M(\|\phi\|_c + v(t))}{\epsilon} \text{ and } M = \max\{|x - y|, \|x_t - y_t\|_c\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in virtue of hypothesis (H₄), that $\exists T > 0$ such that $v(t) = \frac{\epsilon}{2[Lr + F_0]}$ for $t \geq T$

Thus for $t \geq T$, from equation (4.5), we obtain that

$$|Qx(t) - Qy(t)| \leq (LK + 1)\epsilon \tag{4.6}$$

Further, let us consider that $t \in [0, T]$. Then evaluating similarly as above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Qx(t) - Qy(t)| &\leq LK\epsilon + [Lr + F_0] \left(\int_0^t |g(t, x(s), x_s) - g(t, y(s), y_s)| ds \right) \\
 &\leq LK\epsilon + [Lr + F_0] \left(\int_0^{\beta_T} |g(t, x(s), x_s) - g(t, y(s), y_s)| ds \right) \\
 &\leq LK\epsilon + \beta_T \omega_r^T(g, \epsilon)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Where $\beta_T = \sup\{\beta(t): t \in [0, T]\}$

$$\omega_r^T(g, \epsilon) = \sup\{|g(t, s, x) - g(t, s, y)|: t, s \in [0, T], x, y \in [-r, r], |x - y| < \epsilon\}.$$

In view of continuity of β , $\beta_T < \infty$, moreover from the uniform continuity of the function $g(t, s, x)$ on the set $[0, T] \times [0, T] \times [-r, r]$ we derive that $\omega_r^T(g, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and by equation (4.6),(4.7), and above factors, the operator Q maps continuously the ball $\bar{B}r(0)$ into itself. Further on let us take a nonempty subset X of the ball $\bar{B}r(0)$. And fixed arbitrary $T > 0, \epsilon > 0$. Let us choose $x \in X, t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| \leq \epsilon$, with loss of generality we may assume that $t_1 < t_2$. Then taking into our assumptions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |Qx(t_1) - Qx(t_2)| \leq \\ & \left| f(t_1, x(t_1), x_{t_1}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_2} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| f(t_1, x(t_1), x_{t_1}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) - f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) - f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2}) \left(\phi(0) + \int_0^{t_2} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right) \right| \\ & \leq |f(t_1, x(t_1), x_{t_1}) - f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2})| \left| \phi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right| \\ & \quad + |f(t_2, x(t_2), x_{t_2})| \left| \int_0^{t_1} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds - \int_0^{t_2} g(t, x(s), x_s) ds \right| \\ & \leq \ell(t) \max \{ |x(t_1) - x(t_2)|, \|x_{t_1} - x_{t_2}\|_c \} (\|\phi\|_c + v(t_1)) \\ & \quad + (Lr + F_0) \left| \int_0^{\beta(t_1)} g(s, x(s), x_s) ds - \int_0^{\beta(t_2)} g(s, x(s), x_s) ds \right| \\ & \leq LM(\|\phi\|_c + v(t_1)) + (Lr + F_0) \left| \int_0^{\beta(t_1)} g(s, x(s), x_s) ds - \int_0^{\beta(t_2)} g(s, x(s), x_s) ds \right| \\ (4.8) \\ & \leq LM(\|\phi\|_c + v(t_1)) + (Lr + F_0)|v(t_1) - v(t_2)| \\ & \leq LK\epsilon + [Lr + F_0]\omega_r^T(v, \epsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Where we have denoted

$$\omega_r^T(v, \epsilon) = \sup\{|v(t_1) - v(t_2)|: t_1, t_2 \in [0, T] |t_1 - t_2| < \epsilon, v \in [-r, r]\}$$

From the above inequality we obtained

$$\omega^T(Q(t), \epsilon) \leq LK\epsilon + [Lr + F_0]\omega_r^T(v, \epsilon) \quad (4.10)$$

Observe that

$\omega^T(g, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ which is simple consequence of the uniform continuity of function g on $[0, T] \times [0, T] \times [-r, r]$ moreover from uniform continuity of g, α, β, v on $[0, T]$, it follows that $\omega_r^T(v, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with the equation (4.9) we get

$$\omega_0^T(Q(X)) \leq LK \in \omega_0^T(X)$$

$$i. e. \quad \omega_0(Q(X)) \leq LK \in \omega_0(X) \quad (4.11)$$

Taking into account our hypothesis, for fixed $t \in \mathbb{R} \quad x, y \in X$, we deduce following (see 4.6)

$$|Qx(t) - Qy(t)| \leq LK\epsilon + 2[Lr + F_0]v(t)$$

From the above inequality it follows that

$$diam(QX(t)) \leq LK_1 diam(X(t)) + 2[Lr + F_0]v(t)$$

Where $K_1 = K\epsilon$, there fore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} supdiam(QX(t)) \leq LK_1 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} supdiam(X(t)) \quad (4.12)$$

Further using the measure of noncompactness μ defined by the formula (4.2),(4.3) and by estimates (4.11),(4.12), we obtain

$$\mu(Q(X)) \leq LF_1\mu(X)$$

Since $LF_1 \leq 1$ then theorem (2.1) yield to the operator equation $Qx = x$ and deduce that the operator Q has fixed point x in the ball $\bar{B}r(0)$. Obviously x is solution of the FIE (4.2). Moreover, taking into account that the image of the space X under the operator Q is contained in $\bar{B}r(0)$. We infer that the set $FixQ$ of all fixed points of Q is contained in $\bar{B}r(0)$. obviously the set $FixQ$ contains all solutions of the equation (4.1). Other hand we conclude that the set $FixQ$ belongs to the family $Ker\mu$ (see 2.3)

Now taking into account the description of sets belonging to $Ker\mu$ we deduce that all solutions of the FIE(4.2) are uniformly globally attractive on \mathbb{R} . This completes the proof.

5. An Example:

Given the closed and bounded intervals $I_0 = \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right]$ and $I = \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ in \mathbb{R} .

Consider FDE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{x(t)}{f(t, x(t), x_t)} \right] = \frac{e^{-t} x_t}{1 + \|x_t\|_c} \quad t \in I$$

$$x(t) = \sin t \quad t \in I_0$$

(5.1)

Where $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is defined by $f(t, x(t), x_t) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \alpha(|x(t)| + \|x_t\|_C)]$

for $t \in I, \alpha > 0$ and define a function $g: I \times \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(t, x(t), x_t) = \frac{e^{-t}x_t}{1 + \|x_t\|_C + |x(t)|}$

we get

$\alpha(t) = t, \beta(t) = \frac{\pi t}{2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall show that all the above functions satisfy the conditions of theorem (4.1).

Clearly the functions α, β are continuous and map \mathbb{R} into itself with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = \infty$, further on the function f is continuous on $I \times \mathbb{R} \times C$ and satisfied (H_2) with $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x(t), x_t) - f(t, y(t), y_t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(|x(t)| + \|x_t\|_C) - \alpha(|y(t)| + \|y_t\|_C)] \\ &\leq |x - y| \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the function g is continuous on $I \times \mathbb{R} \times C$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x(t), x_t) &= \left| \frac{e^{-t}x_t}{1 + \|x_t\|_C + |x(t)|} \right| \\ &\leq e^{-t} \\ &\leq a(t)b(s) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) \int_0^{\beta(t)} b(s) ds &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}t} ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ K &= \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-t} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}t} ds \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

As $\frac{1}{2} < 1$, we apply theorem (4.1) to yield that the FDE i.e. FIE (4.2) has a solution and all solutions are uniformly globally attractive on \mathbb{R} .

REFERENCES:

1. J.Banas,K.Goebel, Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Space, in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.60, Dekker,New York, 1980.
2. J.Banas, Measures of Noncompactness in Space of Continuous Tempered Functions, Demonstratio Math.14(1981), 127-133.
3. K.Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin,1985.
4. D. O'Regans, M.Meehan, Existence Theory for Nonlinear Integral and Integro-Differential Equations, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1998.
5. J.Banas, B.Rzepka, An Application of a Measure of Noncompactness in the Study of asymptotic Stability, Appl. Math. Letters 16(2003),1-6.
6. T.A.Burton, B.Zhang, Fixed Points and Stability of An Integral Equation,Nonuniqueness, Appl. Math.Letters 17(2004),839-846.
7. B.C.Dhage, S.N.Salunkhe, A Neutral Functional Differential Equation In Banach Algebras, Math.Sci.Res.J.9(4)(2005),101-113.
8. X.Hu, J.Yan, The Global Attractivity and Asymptotic Stability of Solution of a Nonlinear Integral Equation, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 321(2006),147-156.
9. S.N.Salunkhe, M,G.Timol, Attractivity Results For Functional Differential Equations On Unbounded Intervals, Int.J.Math.Sci.Techo.Hum.5(2011),53-60.