

## *International eJournals*

International eJournal of Mathematics and Engineering  
209 (2013) 2056 - 2064

---

---

INTERNATIONAL  
eJOURNAL OF  
MATHEMATICS AND  
ENGINEERING

---

---

[www.InternationaleJournals.com](http://www.InternationaleJournals.com)

### A Solution of Linear-plus-Linear Fractional Multiobjective Programming Problem

D.Dutta<sup>1</sup>, Pitam Singh<sup>2</sup>, Shiv Datt Kumar<sup>2</sup> and R.K.Singh<sup>3</sup>

1. Mathematics Department, National Institute of Technology, Warangal, India.  
[dduttamath@gmail.com](mailto:dduttamath@gmail.com)
2. Mathematics Department, Motillal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad, India, (i) [pitams@mnnit.ac.in](mailto:pitams@mnnit.ac.in) (ii) [sdt@mnnit.ac.in](mailto:sdt@mnnit.ac.in)
3. Electrical Engineering Department, Motillal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad, India [rks@mnnit.ac.in](mailto:rks@mnnit.ac.in)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we proposed a solution procedure for solving multiobjective linear-plus-linear fractional programming problems (MOLLFP). We have positive objective functions for all  $x \in X$  by translating objective functions that are negative for some  $x \in X$ . Then we have single objective function with quadratic constraints as minimization of the deviations from maximum values of objective functions in the feasible region. So MOLLFP is transformed to goal programming problem. The proposed algorithm always yields efficient solution. Three examples are given to illustrate this algorithm.

**Keywords:** Multiobjective Programming, Fractional Programming.

**AMS 2000 Subject Classification:** 90C29, 90C32

#### 1.Introduction

The linear fractional programming (LFP) problem, which has been used as an important planning tool for the past four decades, is applied to different disciplines such as financial and corporate sector, inventory management, production planning, marketing and media selection, university planning and student admissions, health care and hospitality, judiciary system, air force maintenance units, banking sector, and others. Fractional programming is generally used for modeling real life problems with one or more objective(s) such as dept/equity ratio, profit/cost, inventory/sales, actual cost/standard cost, output/employee, student/cost, nurse/patient ratio etc. respect to some constraints.

In literature, different approaches appear to solve different models of linear fractional programming problem (LFPP).

When some of the studies have achieved solution methods [2, 3, 8, 9] others have concentrated on applications [4, 5, 6]. In these studies, the details of LFP is discussed. It is shown that a linear fractional programming problem (LFPP) can be optimized easily. But at the large scale in decision problems, there are more than one objective functions which must be satisfied at the same time as possible. However, most of these are linear fractional objectives. It is difficult to talk about the optimal solutions of these problems. The solutions searched for these problems are weak efficient or strong efficient.

There exist several methodologies to solve multiobjectives linear fractional programming problem (MOLFPP) in literature. Most of these methodologies are computationally burdensome [7]. Kornbluth and Steur [11] have developed an algorithm for solving the MOLFPP for all weak efficient vertices of the feasible region. Nykowski et al. [12] have proposed a compromise procedure for MOLFPP. Choo and Atkins [10] have given an analysis of the bicriteria LFP.

Luhendjula [13] solved MOLFPP using a fuzzy approach. He used linguistic approach to solve MOLFPP by introducing linguistic variables to represent Linguistic aspirations of the decision making (DM). Dutta et al. [14] modified the linguistic approach of Luhandjula [13] to solve MOLFPP. Nuran Guzel and Mustafa Sivri gave Taylor series approach to solve MOLFPP [1] and M. Duran Toksari also developed an algorithm to solve FMOLFPP [ 22 ] by Taylor series approach.

Teterev [18] pointed out that such problems arise when a compromise between absolute and relative terms is to be maximized. Major applications of the linear-plus-linear programming problem can be found in transportation problem, problem of optimizing enterprise capital, the production development fund and the social, cultural and construction fund. Teterev [18] derived an optimality criteria for (LLFP) using simplex type algorithm. Several authors [17, 19, 20, 21] studied the problem (LLFP) and its variants and have discussed their solution properties. We developed an algorithm for solving multiobjective linear-plus-linear fractional programming problems. We gave three numerical examples to apply proposed algorithm.

## 2. Fractional Programming Problem

In this section, LFP, MOLFPP, LLFP, MOLLFP, are described mathematically.

### 2.1. Linear Fractional Programming (LFP)

The general format of Linear Fractional Programming may be written as;

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max} \frac{c^T x + \alpha}{d^T x + \beta} \\ & \text{s. t.} \\ & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \in R^n, c^T, d^T \in R^n, A \in R^{m \times n}, \alpha, \beta \in R, b \in R^m \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For some values of  $x$ ,  $d^T x + \beta$  may be equal to zero. To avoid such cases,  $d^T x + \beta$  is generally set to greater than zero.

## 2.2. Multiobjective Linear Fractional Programming (MOLFP)

A MOLFP may be written as following;

$$\text{Max } Z(x) = \{Z_1(x), Z_2(x), \dots, Z_k(x)\}$$

$$Z_i(x) = \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i} = \frac{N_i(x)}{D_i(x)} \quad \text{where } D_i(x) > 0, \text{ for all } i \quad (2.2)$$

s. t.

$$x \in X = \{x \in R^n; Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\} \quad \text{with } \alpha_i, \beta_i \in R^n, b \in R^m, A \in R^{m \times n}$$

and let the  $\text{Max } Z_i(x) = Z_i^*$ .

## 2.3. Linear-plus-Linear Fractional Programming (LLFP)

A general linear- plus linear fractional programming problem has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{LLFP}) \quad \text{Max} \quad F(x) &= (p^T x + \theta) + \frac{c^T x + \alpha}{d^T x + \beta} \\ \text{s. t.} \\ Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \\ x \in R^n, c^T, d^T, p^T &\in R^n, A \in R^{m \times n}, \alpha, \beta, \theta \in R^n, b \in R^m \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

## 2.4. Multiobjective Linear-plus-Linear Fractional Programming (MOLLFP)

A multiobjective linear- plus-linear fractional programming problem may be defined as

$$\text{Max/Min } Z(x) = \{Z_1(x), Z_2(x), \dots, Z_k(x)\}$$

$$Z_k(x) = (L_{ik} x + l_{ik}) + \frac{c_{ik} x + \alpha_{ik}}{d_{ik} x + \beta_{ik}} = \frac{N_{ik}(x)}{D_{ik}(x)}, \quad \text{where } D_{ik}(x) > 0, \text{ for all } i \quad (2.4)$$

s. t.

$$x \in X = \{x \in R^n; Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\} \quad \text{with } b \in R^m, A \in R^{m \times n}$$

$c_{ik}, d_{ik}, \alpha_{ik}, \beta_{ik}, L_{ik}, l_{ik} \in R^n$ . We restrict ourself for only the values for which denominator of the fractional part of the objective functions is non-zero.

## 3. Algorithm development

In this paper, we consider the multiobjective linear plus fractional programming problem of the form

$$\text{Max } Z(x) = \{Z_1(x), Z_2(x), \dots, Z_k(x)\}$$

$$\text{s.t. } x \in X = \{x \in R^n; Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\} \quad \text{with } b \in R^m, A \in R^{m \times n}$$

$$\text{and } Z_i(x) = (L_i x + l_i) + \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i} = \frac{N_i(x)}{D_i(x)}, \quad \text{where } D_i(x) > 0, \text{ for all } i \quad (3.1)$$

$$c_i, d_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, L_i, l_i \in R^n$$

Let  $x_i^*$  be a global maximum point of  $\text{Max } Z_i(x) = (L_i x + l_i) + \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i} \quad \forall i$

The algorithm for solving MOLLFP is given below :

**Step-1.** Find values of objective functions,  $Z^* = \{Z_1^*, Z_2^*, Z_3^*, \dots, Z_k^*\}$ , that maximizes objective functions

$$\text{Max } Z_i(x) = (L_i x + l_i) + \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i} \quad \forall i \text{ at feasible region.} \quad (3.2)$$

$$x \in X = \{x \in R^n; Ax \leq b, x \geq 0, b \in R^m, A \in R^{m \times n}\}$$

**Step-2.** Calculate to  $Z_i^{\text{Min}} = \text{Min}\{(L_i x + l_i) + \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i}\}$ . If  $Z_i^* < 0$  for some i and do positive

$Z_i(x) \geq 0$  using the transformation  $Z_i(x) = Z_i(x) - Z_i^{\text{Min}}(x)$ , then calculate new maximum objective values  $Z_i^* = Z_i^*(x) - Z_i^{\text{Min}}$ .

**Step 3.** Get to  $(L_i x + l_i) + \frac{c_i x + \alpha_i}{d_i x + \beta_i} \leq (L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  (3.3)

Where  $(L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}$  is a quantitative value of the linear- plus linear fractional objective function.

**Step-4.** Write as

$$\{(L_i x + l_i)(d_i x + \beta_i) + (c_i x + \alpha_i)\} - \{(L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}\}(d_i x + \beta_i) \leq 0 \quad (3.4)$$

With  $(d_i x + \beta_i) > 0$ .

**Step-5.** In order to satisfy these targets in step (4). The goal programming model for MOLLFP is proposed as below

$$\{(L_i x + l_i)(d_i x + \beta_i) + (c_i x + \alpha_i)\} - \{(L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}\}(d_i x + \beta_i) + \bar{h} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Where  $\bar{h}$  is a negative deviation from the i-th goal  $\{(L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}\}(d_i x + \beta_i)$ .

As the linear fractional objectives in problem (1) should be maximized then the total deviation from the goals,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{h}_i \geq 0$  is should be minimized. Let  $s = \min \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{h}_i$ . Then model with a single objective function and quadratic constraints for MOLLFP may be written as the minimization of the total deviations from the above defined goals as below:

$$s = \text{Min} \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{h}_i$$

s.t.

$$\{(L_i x + l_i)(d_i x + \beta_i) + (c_i x + \alpha_i)\} - \{(L_i x_i^* + l_i) + \frac{c_i x_i^* + \alpha_i}{d_i x_i^* + \beta_i}\}(d_i x + \beta_i) + \bar{h} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

**Step-6.** Find optimal solution of the above linear programming problem(3.6)

In problem (3.6), set X is non- empty convex set having feasible points. In fact, the solution of problem (3.6) gives the efficient solution of problem (3.1).

#### 4. Numerical Examples

**Example 1.** Let us consider a MOLPFPP with two objective functions

$$\text{Max} \left\{ Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-3x_1 + 2x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 3}, Z_2(x) = (x_2 + 1) + \frac{7x_1 + x_2}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1} \right\}$$

s.t. (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 &\geq 1 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 &\leq 15 \\ x_1 &\geq 3 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is observed that  $Z_1 < 0, Z_2 \geq 0$ , for each  $x$  in the feasible region.

$$-10.64 \leq Z_1 \leq -4.625 \text{ .and } 2.4 \leq Z_2 \leq 4.75$$

$$Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-3x_1 + 2x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} \text{ becomes}$$

$$Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-3x_1 + 2x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} + 10.64$$

$$Z_1(x) = \frac{(-x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + 3.64x_1 + 11.64x_2 + 28.92)}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} \quad (4.2)$$

by transformation  $Z_i(x) = Z_i(x) - Z_i^{Min}(x)$  and  $0 \leq Z_1 \leq 6.015$

$$\frac{(-x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + 3.64x_1 + 11.64x_2 + 28.92)}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} \leq 6.015 \quad (4.3)$$

$$(x_2 + 1) + \frac{7x_1 + 2x_2}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1} \leq 4.75 \Rightarrow \frac{2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 + 12x_1 + 5x_2 + 1}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1} \leq 4.75 \quad (4.5)$$

Then we get

$$x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2.38x_1 - 5.62x_2 - \bar{h}_1 = 10.86 \quad (4.6)$$

$$2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 - 11.75x_1 - 4.5x_2 + \bar{h}_2 = 3.75 \quad (4.7)$$

The given MOLLFP converts to the following non-linear goal programming problem.

$$s = \text{Min}(\bar{h}_1 + \bar{h}_2)$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2.38x_1 - 5.62x_2 - \bar{h}_1 &= 10.86 \\ 2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 - 11.75x_1 - 4.5x_2 + \bar{h}_2 &= 3.75 \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 &\geq 1 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 &\leq 15 \\ x_1 &\geq 3 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now solution to the above non-linear goal programming is obtained as

optimal solution of above problem (4.8) is at (3.6, 2.6) and  $\bar{h}_1 = 5.416, \bar{h}_2 = -2.57$  minimum value of  $s = 2.89$ . The point (3.6, 2.6) is an efficient solution of the given original problem in the feasible region.

The solution for the original is given by  $x_1 = 3.6, x_2 = 2.6, Z_1 = -5.2, Z_2(x) = 4.75$

**Example 2.** Let us consider a MOLPFPP with three objective functions

$$\text{Max} \left\{ Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-3x_1 + 2x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 3}, Z_2(x) = (x_2 + 1) + \frac{7x_1 + x_2}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1}, Z_3(x) = (x_1 + 1) + \frac{x_1 + 4x_2}{2x_1 + 3x_2 + 2} \right\}$$

s.t.

$$x_1 - x_2 \geq 1$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 15$$

$$x_1 + 9x_2 \geq 9$$

$$x_1 \geq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

It is observed that  $Z_1 < 0, Z_2 \geq 0, Z_3 \geq 0$  for each  $x$  in the feasible region

$$-10.24 \leq Z_1 \leq -4.625 \quad 2.55 \leq Z_2 \leq 4.75 \quad \text{and} \quad 4.79 \leq Z_3 \leq 8.67 .$$

Since  $Z_1 \leq 0, Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-3x_1 + 2x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 3}$  becomes

$$Z_1(x) = \frac{(-x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - 3.24x_1 - 11.24x_2 - 27.72)}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} \quad \text{by transformation} \quad Z_i(x) = Z_i(x) - Z_i^{Min}(x)$$

and  $0 \leq Z_1 \leq 5.62$

$$\frac{(-x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - 3.24x_1 - 11.24x_2 - 27.72)}{x_1 + x_2 + 3} \leq 5.62$$

$$Z_2 = (x_2 + 1) + \frac{7x_1 + 2x_2}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1} \leq 4.75 \Rightarrow \frac{2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 + 12x_1 + 5x_2 + 1}{5x_1 + 2x_2 + 1} \leq 4.75$$

$$Z_3 = (x_1 + 1) + \frac{x_1 + 4x_2}{2x_1 + 3x_2 + 2} \leq 8.67 \Rightarrow \frac{2x_1^2 + 3x_1x_2 + 5x_1 + 7x_2 + 2}{2x_1 + 3x_2 + 2} \leq 8.67$$

Then we get

$$x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2.38x_1 - 5.62x_2 - \bar{h}_1 = 10.24$$

$$2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 - 11.75x_1 - 4.5x_2 + \bar{h}_2 = 3.75$$

$$2x_1^2 + 3x_1x_2 - 12.34x_1 - 19.01x_2 + \bar{h}_3 = 15.34$$

The given MOLLFP converts to the following non-linear goal programming problem.

$$s = \text{Min}(\bar{h}_1 + \bar{h}_2 + \bar{h}_3)$$

s.t.

$$x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2.38x_1 - 5.62x_2 - \bar{h}_1 = 10.24$$

$$2x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 - 11.75x_1 - 4.5x_2 + \bar{h}_2 = 3.75$$

$$2x_1^2 + 3x_1x_2 - 12.34x_1 - 19.01x_2 + \bar{h}_3 = 15.34$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \geq 1$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 15$$

$$x_1 + 9x_2 \geq 9$$

$$x_1 \geq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

The solution of above programming problem is given by

$$x_1 = 3.6, \quad x_2 = 2.6, \quad \bar{h}_1 = 5.416, \quad \bar{h}_2 = -2.57, \quad \bar{h}_3 = 55.19, \quad s = 58.04$$

The solution of original problem is obtained as

$$x_1 = 3.6, \quad x_2 = 2.6, \quad Z_1 = -5.20, \quad Z_2(x) = 4.75, \quad Z_3 = 5.4$$

**Example 3.** Let us consider a MOLPFPP with three objective functions that all objectives are negative as below.

$$Max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z_1(x) = (-x_1 - 1) + \frac{-x_1 + x_2 - 4}{6x_1 + x_2 + 3}, Z_2(x) = (-2x_2 + 1) + \frac{x_1 - x_2 - 5}{x_2 + 1}, \\ Z_3(x) = (-3x_1 + 1) + \frac{3x_1 + x_2 - 17}{-3x_1 + 16} \end{array} \right\}$$

s.t.

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_2 \leq 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 7$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

It is observed that  $Z_1 < 0, Z_2 < 0, Z_3 < 0$  for each  $x$  in the regions

$$-5.25 \leq Z_1 \leq -2.08, \quad -8.6 \leq Z_2 \leq -2 \text{ and } -12 \leq Z_3 \leq -0.0625.$$

$$-6x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + 2.48x_1 + 2.08x_2 + \bar{h}_1 = 0.76$$

$$-2x_2^2 + x_1 + \bar{h}_2 = 2$$

$$-9x_1^2 - 48.18x_1 + x_2 + \bar{h}_3 = 0.04$$

The given MOLLFP converts to the following non-linear goal programming problem.

$$s = Min(\bar{h}_1 + \bar{h}_2 + \bar{h}_3)$$

s.t.

$$-6x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + 2.48x_1 + 2.08x_2 + \bar{h}_1 = 0.76$$

$$-2x_2^2 + x_1 + \bar{h}_2 = 2$$

$$-9x_1^2 - 48.18x_1 + x_2 + \bar{h}_3 = 0.04$$

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_2 \leq 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 17$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

The solution of above goal programming problem is given by

$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \bar{h}_1 = 0.76, \bar{h}_2 = 2, \bar{h}_3 = 0.04, s = 2.8$$

The solution of original problem is obtained as

$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0 \quad Z_1(x) = -2.33, Z_2(x) = -4 \quad Z_3(x) = -0.0625 .$$

#### IV. Conclusions:

In this paper, we have proposed a solution procedure for multi objective linear- plus-linear fractional programming problem( MOLLFP). We have positive objective functions for all  $x \in X$  by translating objective functions that are negative for some  $x \in X$ . Then we have single objective function as minimization of the deviations from maximum value of objective functions in the feasible region. So MOLLFP converts to quadratic goal programming problem. The proposed algorithm always yields an efficient solution. The proposed algorithm is applied to three numerical examples.

#### References:

- [1] Nuran Guzel, Mustafa Sivri, Taylor series solution of Multiobjective linear Fractional Programming Problems, Trkya Univ J Sc. 6(2), (2005), 80--87.
- [2] A. Charnes, W. W. Cooper, programming with linear fractional functionals, Nav. Res. Logistics Quart. 9 (1962), 181--186.
- [3] S. Zionts, Programming with linear fractional functionals, Nav. Res. Logistics Quart. 15 (1968), 449--451.
- [4] E. Munteanu, I. Rado, Calculul, Sarjelar celor mai economice la cuptoarele de topit fonta, studii si cercetari matematice, cluj, faseiola anexa XI, (1960), 149--158.
- [5] A. C. Gilmore, R.E. Gomory, A linear programming approach to the cutting stock problem II, Oper. Res 11 (1963), 863--888.
- [6] S. F.Tantaway, A, New method for solving Linear Fractional Programming Problems, Australian journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 1(2) (2007), 105--108.
- [7] M. Chakraborty, Sandipon Gupta, Fuzzy mathematical programming for multi objective linear fractional programming problem, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 125 (2002), 335-342.
- [8] S.Schaible, Analyse und Anwendungen von Quatienten programmen verlag Anton Hain, Meisenheim am Glan, 1978.
- [9] B. D. Craven, Fractional Programming, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [10] E. U. Choo, D. R. Atkins, Bicriteria Linear fractional programming, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 36 (1982), 203--220.
- [11] J. S. H. Kornbluth, R. E. Steuer, Multiple objective Linear fractional programming Manage. Sci. 27 (1981), 1024--1039.
- [12] I. Nykowski, Z.Zolkiewski, A compromise procedure for the multiple objective Linear fractional programming problem, European J. Oper. Res. 19 (1985), 91--97.
- [13] M. K. Luhandjula, Fuzzy approaches for multiple objective Linear fractional optimization, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 13 (1984) 11--23.



- [14] D. Dutta, R. N. Tiwari, J. R. Rao, Multiple objective Linear fractional programming -A fuzzy set theoretic approach, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 52 (1992), 39--45.
- [15] Sanjay jain, Kailash Lachhwani, Sum of linear and Fractional Multiobjective Programming Problem under Fuzzy Rules Constaints, *Australian journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(2) (2008), 105-108.
- [16] Joachim Hirche, A note on programming problems with linear- plus- linear- fractional objective functions, *European J. Oper. Res.*, 89 (1996), 212--214.
- [17] Sanjeet,et.al., Multiparametric sensitivity analysis in programming problem with linear- plus linear fractional objective functions, *European J. Oper. Res.*, 160 (2005), 232--241.
- [18] S. S. Chadha, Dual of the sum of linear and linear fractional program, *European J. Oper. Res.* 67 (1993), 136--139.
- [19] J. Hirche, On programming problems with a linear-plus-linear fractional objective functions, *Cahiers du Centre d'Etudes de Recherche operationelle* 26(1-2) (1984), 49-64.
- [20] A. G. Teterev, On a generalization of linear and piecewise linear programming, *Matekon*, 6 (1970), 246--259.
- [21] S. Schaible, A note on the sum of a linear and linear fractional functions, *Naval research logistics Quarterly*, 24 (1977), 961--693.
- [22] M. Duran Toksari, Taylor series approach to fuzzy multiobjective linear fractional programming , *information Sciences*, 178 ( 2008), 1189--1204.
- [23] H.J.Zimmermann, Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1 (1978), 45--55.
- [24] B. B. Pal, B. N. Moitra, U. Maulik, A goal programming procedure for fuzzy multi-objective linear fractional programming problem, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*: 139 (2003), 395-405.
- [25] Nuran Guzel, Mustafa Sivri, Proposal of a solution to Multiobjective linear Fractional Programming Problem, *Journal of Engineering and natural sciences*.2 (2005), 43-50.