

## **Generalized Thermoelastic Problem Concerning Semi-Infinite Rods**

**<sup>1</sup>D. Raju and <sup>2</sup>D.Rama Murthy**

<sup>1</sup>Vidya Jyothi Institute of Technology, AzizNagar Gate, Hyderabad-500 075, India.

<sup>2</sup>Professor (Retd.) of Mathematics, U.C.S., Osmania University, Hyderabad-500 007, India.

E-mail: [20122102india@gmail.com](mailto:20122102india@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

In this paper, we have solved a generalized thermoelasticity problem concerning to semi – infinite thin rods subjected to velocity impact. To study the importance of the presence of the strain–acceleration term in the field equations, we have solved the problem 1) with 2) without the term containing strain- acceleration and obtained the solutions for small values of time. We analyzed the results by representing them graphically.

**Key Words:** Generalized thermoelasticity, Velocity impact, Strain – Acceleration, Relaxation Constant, Stress-Strain relations.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34 B 40.

### **1. Introduction:**

Transient heat transfer problems usually involve the solution of the classical Fourier heat Conduction equation, which is of parabolic character, as a consequence a perturbed heat signal propagates with an infinite velocity through the medium. That is, if an isotropic homogeneous elastic continuum is subjected to a mechanical or thermal disturbance, the effect of the disturbance will be felt instantaneously at distances infinitely far from its source. Such a behaviour is physically inadmissible and contradicts the existing theories of heat transport mechanisms.

Nonconventional thermoelasticity theories in which the parabolic heat transport equation is replaced by a hyperbolic heat transport equation do not suffer from the above said drawbacks and they admit Wave – like thermal signals propagating with finite speeds. A wave like thermal signal is referred to as second Sound – the first sound being the usual sound wave. Thermoelasticity theories admitting such signals are known as thermoelasticity theories with Second Sound or Generalized thermoelasticity theories or hyperbolic thermoelasticity. A bibliographical review of the literature on the above theory was given by Chandrasekharaiah, D.S [2] in his review article, and “Thermoelasticity with second sound“.

Tisza, L [4] predicted the possibility of extremely small heat propagation rates (Second Sound) in liquid Helium – II. Chester, M [5] discussed the possibility of existence of Second Sound in solids. The experiments on Sodium Helium by Ackerman, C.C et.al [1] and by Mc Nelly, T et.al [7] on Sodium fluoride, have shown that second sound occurs in solids also. The second sound effect indicates that heat can be transported by wave type mechanism rather than usual diffusion process. All these researches lead to the reformulation of the existing Fourier heat conduction equation in to a damped wave type equation, which is hyperbolic.

Morse, P.M and Feshbach, H [6] postulated that the governing transient heat conduction must depend upon the velocity of the propagation of heat  $C$ . They assumed that the equation,

$$\frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 T$$

Which is hyperbolic, must be the correct governing differential equation for heat conduction problems.

Here, we have studied the application of the generalized theory of thermoelasticity to semi-infinite thin rods when the rods are subjected to Velocity impact. Since the specimen is very thin and long the problem is treated as one dimensional.

The solutions of problems of this type usually do not permit closed forms unless certain relaxations in the constraints are made. In order to obtain a closed form solution Lord, H.W. and Schulman Y [3] neglected the strain – acceleration term in the field equations, on the assumption that, for most materials, the relaxation constant and coupled parameter have very small values ( $\ll 1$ ) and that their product has naturally very insignificant value.

To study the importance of the presence of the term containing  $\beta\delta$  in the field equations, the problem is solved 1) with and 2) without the term containing  $\beta\delta$  in the field equations.

Since the problems of this type are amenable to integral transform methods, Laplace transform is used and the solutions are obtained for small values of time. We analyzed the results by representing them graphically.

## 2. Formulation of the problem:

Consider a long thin rod in which the only non zero stress component is the axial one  $\sigma_1$ . The equation of motion reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial x_1} = \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} \quad \text{----- (1)}$$

Where  $u_1$  is the displacement in the axial direction  $x_1$ ,  $\rho_0$  is the undeformed density and  $t$  is the time. The energy equation of isotropic linear thermoelasticity is given by,

$$k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_1^2} = \rho_0 C_E \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} \right) + (3\lambda + 2\mu) \alpha T_0 \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial t^2} \right) \text{-----}(2)$$

The constitutive equation for the isotropic linear elastic solid can be written as

$$(3\lambda + 2\mu)e = \sigma_1 + 3\alpha(3\lambda + 2\mu)T \text{-----}(3)$$

For the case of thin rod

$$E \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} = \sigma_1 + \alpha E T \text{-----}(4)$$

From (2) and (3), we get

$$k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_1^2} = \rho_0 C_\sigma \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} \right) + \alpha T_0 \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1}{\partial t^2} \right) \text{-----}(5)$$

Where,

$$C_\sigma = C_E + \frac{3\alpha^2 (3\lambda + 2\mu)T_0}{\rho_0}$$

Here,

$$e = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \text{-----}(6)$$

From equations (4.1) and (4.4), we get

$$\alpha E \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_1^2} = E \frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial x_1^3} - \rho_0 \frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial t^2 \partial x_1} \text{-----}(7)$$

Using the following non dimensional variables,  $x = \frac{x_1}{a}$ ,

$$u = \frac{u_1}{a}, \quad \tau = \frac{vt}{a}, \quad v = \left( \frac{E}{\rho_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad a = \frac{k}{\rho_0 C_\sigma v}, \quad \theta = \frac{(\rho_0 C_\sigma T)}{(\alpha T_0 E)}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma_1}{E}$$

$$\beta = \text{Relaxation constant} = \frac{\rho_0 C_\sigma \tau_0 v^2}{k}$$

$$\delta = \text{Coupling Constant} = \frac{\alpha^2 T_0 E}{\rho_0 C_\sigma} \quad \text{--- (8)} \quad \text{We get the field equations as,}$$

$$u^{IV} - (1 + \beta) \ddot{u} - \ddot{u} + (1 - \beta) \ddot{u} + \beta(1 - \delta) u = 0 \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

and similar equations to  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  also. Here, primes denote differentiation with respect to  $x$  and superposed dots denote differentiation with respect to time  $\tau$ . The following auxiliary relations can be obtained from the foregoing equations.

From equation (7), we get

$$\delta \theta' = u'' - \ddot{u} \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

From equation (4), we get

$$\sigma = u' - \delta \theta \quad \text{--- (10a)}$$

From the above two equations, we get

$$\delta \ddot{\theta} = \sigma'' - \ddot{\sigma} \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

From equation (5), we get

$$\theta'' - \theta - \beta \ddot{\theta} = \sigma + \beta \sigma'' \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

From equations (10), (10 a) and (12), we get,

$$\delta(1 - \delta) \dot{\theta} + \beta \delta(1 - \delta) \ddot{\theta} = u''' - (1 + \beta \delta) \ddot{u} - \delta \dot{u} \quad \text{--- (13)}$$

Applying Laplace transform to equation (9), we get

$$\bar{u}'' - [(1 + \beta)p^2 + p]\bar{u} + (1 - \delta)p^3(1 + \beta p)\bar{u} = 0 \quad (14)$$

Where, 
$$\bar{u}(x, p) = \int_0^{\infty} u(x, \tau) \cdot e^{(-p\tau)} d\tau$$

while applying Laplace transform, we have used the initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u'(x, 0) = u''(x, 0) = u'''(x, 0) = 0$$

If  $\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2$  are the roots of the characteristic equation of (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 &= p[(1 + \beta)p + 1] \\ \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 &= p[(1 + \beta)p + 1]^2 - 4p(1 - \delta)(1 + \beta p)]^{1/2} \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Solving, we get

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \left[ \frac{p}{2} \left[ (1 + \beta)p + 1 \pm \left[ ((1 + \beta)p + 1)^2 - 4p(1 - \delta)(1 + \beta p) \right]^{1/2} \right] \right]^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

For large values of p, we get

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{p}{V_{1,2}} + k_{1,2} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \quad (17)$$

Where, 
$$\frac{2}{v_{1,2}} = 1 + \beta \pm \Gamma^{1/2}$$

$$4K_{1,2} = V_{1,2} [1 \mp (1 - \beta - 2\delta)\Gamma^{-1/2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = (1 - \beta)^2 + 4\beta\delta$$

As we see  $v_1 < v_2$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = p^{-3} \Gamma^{-3/2} [p\Gamma + 1 - \beta - 2\delta] + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$$

For small values of p, we get  $\lambda_1 = p^{1/2}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$  ----- (18)

**3. Problem of constant velocity impact:**

Constant velocity impact is equivalent to the motion of one end of the specimens moving at a prescribed speed  $V_0$ . The boundary conditions are,

$$u(0, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau < 0 \\ v_0\tau, & \tau > 0 \end{cases} \text{----- (19)}$$

When symmetrical specimens are used, no heat flows across the surface, i.e.,

$$\theta^I(0, \tau) = 0 \text{----- (20)}$$

Using the regularity boundary condition at infinity into account, the solution of equation (14) is given by,

$$\bar{u}(x, p) = Ae^{(-\lambda_1 x)} + Be^{(-\lambda_2 x)}$$

Using the boundary conditions (10) and (19), we get

$$\bar{u}(x, p) = \frac{v_0(\lambda_2 - p)}{p(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} e^{(-\lambda_1 x)} - \frac{v_0(\lambda_1 - p)}{p(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} e^{(-\lambda_2 x)} \text{-----(21)}$$

It is convenient to find the strain  $u^I$  rather than the displacement u. From the above equation, we get

$$\bar{u}^I(x, p) = \frac{v_0}{p(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \left[ \lambda_2(\lambda_1 - p) e^{(-\lambda_2 x)} - \lambda_1(\lambda_2 - p) e^{(-\lambda_1 x)} \right] \text{----- (22)}$$

Since it is very difficult to find the inverse of this expression in this form, we investigate this problem taking large and small values of p into consideration. For large values of p, equation (22) takes the form,

$$\frac{I}{\bar{u}}(x, p) = \frac{v_0}{v_1 v_2 \Gamma^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 e^{(-k_1 x)} \left[ \frac{(1-v_2)^2}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \left[ \frac{(1-\beta-2\delta)(1-v_2)^2}{\Gamma} + k_1 v_1 (1-v_2)^2 + 2k_2 v_2 \right] \right] e^{\left(-\frac{px}{v_1}\right)} \\ -v_2 e^{(-k_2 x)} \left[ \frac{(1-v_1)^2}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \left[ \frac{(1-\beta-2\delta)(1-v_1)^2}{\Gamma} + k_2 v_2 (1-v_1)^2 + 2k_1 v_1 \right] \right] e^{\left(-\frac{px}{v_2}\right)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{-----(23)}$$

Taking inverse Laplace transform we get,

$$u^1(x, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \tau < \frac{x}{v_2} \\ -\frac{v_0 v_2}{v_1 v_2 \Gamma^{1/2}} e^{(-k_2 x)} \left[ (1-v_1)^2 + \left[ \frac{(1-\beta-2\delta)(1-v_1)^2}{\Gamma} + k_2 v_2 (1-v_1)^2 + 2k_1 v_1 \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_3} \right) \right] & \text{for } \frac{x}{v_2} < \tau < \frac{x}{v_1} \\ \frac{v_0}{v_1 v_2 \Gamma^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 e^{(-k_1 x)} \left[ (1-v_2)^2 + \left[ \frac{(1-\beta-2\delta)(1-v_2)^2}{\Gamma} + 2k_2 v_2 + k_1 v_1 (1-v_2)^2 \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_1} \right) \right] \\ -v_2 e^{(-k_2 x)} \left[ (1-v_1)^2 + \left[ \frac{(1-\beta-2\delta)(1-v_1)^2}{\Gamma} + 2k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 (1-v_1)^2 \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_2} \right) \right] \end{bmatrix} & \text{for } \tau > \frac{x}{v_1} \end{cases} \quad \text{-----(24)}$$

For small values of  $p$ ,

$$\bar{u}^I = -\frac{v_0 e^{(-p^{1/2}x)}}{p^{1/2}}$$

Taking inverse transform, we get

$$u^I = -\frac{v_0 e^{(-x^2/4\tau)}}{\sqrt{\pi\tau}}$$

Temperature distribution is obtained by applying Laplace transform to equation (13) and using equation (22), we get

$$\bar{\theta}(x, p) = \frac{v_0}{p^2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)\delta(1-\delta)(p + \beta p^2)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} e^{(-k_2x)} e^{\left(\frac{-px}{v_2}\right)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{v_2}(1+\delta\beta)-1\right)\left(1-v_1^2\right)}{v_1 v_2^2 p^3} \\ + \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\left(1-v_1^2\right)\left(k_2+k_2\delta\beta+\frac{\delta}{v_2}\right)}{v_1} \\ + \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\left(1-v_1^2\right)\left(1-\beta-2\delta-\frac{\Gamma}{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{2}{v_2}(1+\delta\beta)-1\right)}{v_1 v_2^2 \Gamma} \\ - \frac{2k_1}{v_1 v_2} + \frac{2k_1(1+\delta\beta)}{v_1 v_2} - \frac{3k_2\left(1-v_1^2\right)}{2 v_1 v_2} \end{array} \right] \\ e^{(-k_1x)} e^{\left(\frac{-px}{v_1}\right)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{v_1}(1+\delta\beta)-1\right)\left(1-v_2^2\right)}{v_1 v_2^2 p^3} \\ + \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{2k_2(1+\delta\beta)}{v_1 v_2} + \frac{\left(1-v_1^2\right)\left(k_1+k_1\delta\beta+\frac{\delta}{v_1}\right)}{v_2} \\ - \frac{2k_2}{v_1 v_2} - \frac{3k_1\left(1-v_2^2\right)}{2 v_1 v_2} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \text{-----(27)}$$



Inverting, we get

$$\theta(x, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau < \frac{x}{v_2} \\ \frac{v_0 v_1 e^{(-k_2 x)}}{\delta \beta (1-\delta) \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{3}{3} v_1 v_2} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1-\beta-2\delta}{\Gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left( \frac{2}{v_2} (1+\beta\delta) - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \\ & + \frac{3}{v_2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \left( k_2 + k_2 \delta \beta + \frac{\delta}{v_2} \right) + 2k_1 v_1 v_2 \left( 1 + \beta\delta \right) \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_2} \right) \\ & - 2k_1 v_1 - 3k_2 v_2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \end{aligned} \right] & \text{for } \tau < \frac{x}{v_2} < \frac{x}{v_1} \\ \frac{v_0}{\delta \beta (1-\delta) \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{3}{3} v_1 v_2} \left[ \begin{aligned} & v_1 e^{(-k_2 x)} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1-\beta-2\delta}{\Gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left( \frac{2}{v_2} (1+\beta\delta) - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \\ & + \frac{3}{v_2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \left( k_2 + k_2 \delta \beta + \frac{\delta}{v_2} \right) + 2k_1 v_1 v_2 \left( 1 + \beta\delta \right) \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_2} \right) \\ & - 2k_1 v_1 - 3k_2 v_2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \end{aligned} \right] \\ & - v_2 e^{(-k_1 x)} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1-\beta-2\delta}{\Gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left( \frac{2}{v_1} (1+\beta\delta) - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_2} \right) \\ & + \frac{3}{v_1} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_2} \right) \left( k_1 + k_1 \delta \beta + \frac{\delta}{v_1} \right) + 2k_2 v_2 v_1 \left( 1 + \beta\delta \right) \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_1} \right) \\ & - 2k_2 v_2 - 3k_1 v_1 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{v_2} \right) \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned} \right] & \text{for } \tau > \frac{x}{v_1} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

The stress distribution is given by,

$$\sigma = u \frac{I}{\delta} - \delta \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. \begin{aligned}
 & 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for } \tau < \frac{x}{v_2} \\
 & \frac{v_1 e^{-k_2 x}}{v_1 v_2^3 \beta (1-\beta) \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_1}\right) \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) + \\
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_1}\right) \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & 3k_2 v_2 - \delta \frac{2}{v_2} - (1 + \beta\delta) k_2 v_2^3 - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \\
 & \left(1 - (1 + \delta\beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) + \left(k_2 v_2 + \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \frac{2}{v_2} \\
 & + 2k_1 v_1 \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) \\
 & \left(\tau - \frac{x}{v_2}\right)
 \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for } \frac{x}{v_2} < \tau < \frac{x}{v_1} \\
 & \frac{V_1 e^{-k_1 x}}{v_1 v_2^3 \beta (1-\beta) \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_1}\right) \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) + \\
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_1}\right) \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & 3k_2 v_2 - \delta \frac{2}{v_2} - (1 + \beta\delta) k_2 v_2^3 - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \\
 & \left(1 - (1 + \delta\beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) + \left(k_2 v_2 + \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \frac{2}{v_2} \\
 & + 2k_1 v_1 \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_2}\right) \\
 & \left(\tau - \frac{x}{v_2}\right)
 \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & - v_2 e^{-k_1 x} \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_2}\right) \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_1}\right) + \\
 & \left(1 - \frac{2}{v_2}\right) \left[ \begin{aligned}
 & 3k_1 v_1 - \delta \frac{2}{v_1} - (1 + \beta\delta) k_1 v_1^3 \\
 & - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \\
 & \left(1 - (1 + \delta\beta) \frac{2}{v_1}\right) + \left(k_1 v_1 + \frac{1 - \beta - 2\delta}{\Gamma}\right) \frac{2}{v_1} \\
 & + 2k_2 v_2 \left(1 - (1 + 2\beta\delta - \beta) \frac{2}{v_1}\right) \\
 & \left(\tau - \frac{x}{v_1}\right)
 \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for } \tau > \frac{x}{v_1}
 \end{aligned} \right\} \frac{\sigma(x, \tau)}{v_0} = \dots \dots \dots (29)
 \end{aligned}
 \end{aligned}$$

For most of the materials the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  have smaller values so that the product  $\beta\delta$  is far less than Unity. Based on this assumption, Lord, H.W. and Shulman, Y [3] neglected the term containing  $\beta\delta$ , which is the term involving strain acceleration. Here we want to study the effect of dropping this term from the field equations.

Neglecting the term containing  $\beta\delta$ , we get the field equations as

$$u^{IV} - (1 + \beta)u'' - u'' + (1 - \delta)u + \beta u = 0 \quad \text{-----(30)}$$

$$\delta\theta^I = u^{II} - u'' \quad \text{-----(31)}$$

$$\sigma = u^I - \delta\theta \quad \text{----- (32)}$$

$$\delta\theta'' = \sigma^{II} - \sigma'' \quad \text{----- (33)}$$

$$\theta^{II} - \theta - \beta\theta'' = \sigma + \beta\sigma'' \quad \text{----- (34)} \quad \text{and,}$$

$$\delta\theta = u^{III} - u'' - \delta u^I \quad \text{----- (35)}$$

Applying Laplace transform, we get

$$\bar{u}^{IV} - [(1 + \beta)p^2 + p]\bar{u}'' + [1 - \delta + \beta p]p^3\bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{-----(36)}$$

If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the characteristic roots of (36), we have

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = p[(1 + \beta)p + 1], \quad \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 = (1 - \delta + \beta p)p^3, \quad \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 = p[(1 + \beta)p + 1]^2 - 4p(1 - \delta + \beta p)]^{1/2},$$

For large values of p,  $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{p}{v_{1,2}} + K_{1,2} + o\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$       Where,  $\frac{2}{v_{1,2}} = 1 + \beta \pm \Gamma^{1/2}$

$$4K_{1,2} = v_{1,2}[1 \mp (1 - \beta - 2\delta)\Gamma^{-1/2}], \quad \Gamma = (1 - \beta)^2 \quad \text{----- (38)}$$

For the problem of velocity impact, from equation (36), taking the regularity boundary condition at infinity into account, we get  $\bar{u}(x, p) = A e^{(-\lambda_1 x)} + \beta e^{(-\lambda_2 x)}$

Here A and B are constants and are determined using boundary conditions.



$$\therefore \frac{\bar{u}^I}{v_0} = \frac{1}{p^2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \left[ \lambda_2(\lambda_1^2 - p^2) e^{(-\lambda_2 x)} - \lambda_1(\lambda_2^2 - p^2) e^{(-\lambda_1 x)} \right]$$

For large values of p, we get

$$\frac{I}{u} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, \quad \text{for } \tau < \frac{x}{v_2} \\ -\frac{v_2 e^{(-k_2 x)}}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma^2}} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right) + \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta - 2\delta) \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right)}{\Gamma} + 2k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right) \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_2} \right) \right] \\ \quad \text{for } \frac{x}{v_2} < \tau < \frac{x}{v_1} \\ \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma^2}} \left[ \begin{array}{l} v_1 e^{(-k_1 x)} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_2}\right) + \left[ \frac{2k_2 v_2 + k_1 v_1 \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_2}\right) (1 - \beta - 2\delta) \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_2}\right)}{\Gamma} \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_1} \right) \right] \\ -v_2 e^{(-k_2 x)} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right) + \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta - 2\delta) \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right)}{\Gamma} + 2k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{v_1}\right) \right] \left( \tau - \frac{x}{v_2} \right) \right] \end{array} \right] \\ \quad \text{for } \tau > \frac{x}{v_1} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{----- (39)}$$

**4. Discussion of the results:**

To study the importance of the presence of the terms containing  $\beta\delta$  in the field equations, we have considered the problem of velocity impact. The problem is solved 1) with and 2) without the term containing  $\beta\delta$ . To study the importance of the presence of the terms containing  $\beta\delta$  in the field equations, we have considered the problem of velocity impact. The problem is solved 1) with and 2) without the term containing  $\beta\delta$  in the field equations. Graphs are drawn showing the results in both cases for strains and for stresses (Figure 1 and Figure 2) for the values of  $\delta = 0.004$  and  $\beta = 0.021863$ . Both strain and stress plots show marginal increase in their magnitudes. It is interesting to know that, at distances nearer to the application of the mechanical disturbance, in the case of the strain plot, the effect of retention or deletion is not felt.



## References

- [1] C.C. Ackerman, B. Bentman, H.A.Fairbank, and R.A.Guyer. “Second Sound In Solid Helium – 3”, Phys.Rev.Letters, Vol. 16 (1966), p.p. 789.
- [2] D.S. Chandrasekaraiah. “Thermoelasticity with Second Sound – A Review”, Trans. ASME, Appl. Mech.Rev, Vol. 39 (1986), p.p. 355.
- [3] H.W .Lord and Y. Shulman “A Generalized Dynamical Theory Of Thermoelasticity “, J.Mech.Phys.Solids, Vol. 15 (1996), p.p. 299.
- [4] L.Tisza. “Heat Propagation Rates In Helium – II”, J.Phys.Radium, Paris, Vol. 1 (1940), p.p. 350.
- [5] M. Chester. “Second Sound In Solids”, Phys.Rev.Letters, 131, 2013, 1963.
- [6] P.M .Morse, and H.Feshbach, “Methods of Theoretical Physics”, Mc Graw – Hill, New York, 865, 1953.
- [7] T. McNally, et.al, “Heat Pulses In NaF”, Phys.Rev.Letters, Vol. 24 (1970), p.p. 100.