

**ON NEW VERTEX NATURALLY LABELED GRAPHS****M.A.Rajan<sup>\*</sup>, V. Lokesh<sup>\*\*</sup>, Ranjini P.S.<sup>\*\*\*</sup>***<sup>\*</sup>Innovation Labs, Tata consultancy Services Limited,  
Bangalore, India,**<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Acharya Institute of Technology  
Bangalore-90, Karnataka, India**<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Department of mathematics  
Don Bosco Institute of Technology  
Bangalore-74 Karnataka, India**email: <sup>\*</sup>rajan.ma@tcs.com, <sup>\*\*</sup>lokeshav@acharya.ac.in, <sup>\*\*\*</sup>ranjini\_p\_s@yahoo.com***Abstract**

Vertices of the graphs are labeled from the set of natural numbers from one to the order of the given graph. Vertex Adjacency Label Set (VALS) is the set of ordered pair of vertices and its corresponding label of the graph. In this paper we introduced a notion of Vertex Adjacency Label Number (VALN). For each VALS, VALN of graph is the sum of labels of all the adjacent pairs of the vertices of the graph.  $\aleph$  is the maximum number among all the VALNs of the different labeling of the graph and the corresponding VALS is defined as Max Vertex Adjacency Label Set  $MVALS_{\aleph}$ . In this paper  $\aleph$  for special graphs are computed and also algorithm to find the  $\aleph$  of any graph is described.

**Subject Classification:** 05C12, 05C07**Key Words:** Graph labeling, Graceful labeling, Complement.**1. Introduction**

The research in graph enumeration and graph labeling started way back in 1857 by Arthur Cayley. Graph enumeration is defined as counting number of different graphs of particular type, subgraphs, etc. with graph variants (the number of vertices and edges of the graph). Labeling of graph is assigning labels to the vertices or edges of a graph. Most graph labelings trace their origins to labelings presented by Alex Rosa in his 1967 paper. Some of graph labeling methods are graceful labeling, harmonious labeling, and coloring of graphs. Graph labeling and enumeration finds the application in chemical graph theory, social networking and computer networking and channel assignment problem. For example, Cayley demonstrated that the number of different trees of  $n$  vertices is analogous to number of isomers of the saturated hydrocarbon with  $n$  carbon items  $C_nH_{2n+2}$  [5]. More such applications can be found in [2,3,4]. In this paper vertex labeling of graphs are studied. Let  $G(p, q)$  be a graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges and  $V, E$  are set of vertices and edges of  $G$  respectively.

**Vertex Natural Labeling of a graph:** A vertex natural labeling of  $G$  is a mapping function  $l$  which assigns each vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , an unique number  $l(v)$  from the set of natural

numbers  $N = \{1,2,3,\dots,p\}$ . That is all the vertices are having distinct labels from 1 to  $p$ . Thus  $l$  is bijective. So there are  $p!$  sets of different labeling of a given graph. Each such label set is called *Vertex Adjacency Label Set (VALS)*. *Vertex Adjacency Labeling Number (VALN)* for each VALS is defined as the sum of labels of all the adjacent pairs of the vertices of the graph, which is given by  $\sum_{\text{for each edge}(u,v \in E(G))} l(u) + l(v)$ . Let the set  $\{VALS_1, VALS_2, \dots, VALS_p\}$  be all the VALSs of the given graph  $G(p, q)$  and the set  $\{VALN_1, VALN_2, \dots, VALN_p\}$  be set of corresponding VALNs. Then  $\aleph(G) = \text{Max}(VALN_1, VALN_2, \dots, VALN_p)$  is the Maximum Vertex Label Number of a given graph  $G(p, q)$  and the corresponding VALS is called *Maximal Vertex Adjacency Label Set (MAVLS)*.

This paper is organized into several sections. In section 2, important results and observations which are useful for deriving the new results are described. In section 3, we proved Main results and some corollaries; recurrence relations and bounds for  $\aleph(G)$  are derived in sections 4, 5 and 6 respectively. In section 7, algorithm to compute  $\aleph(G)$  is described. The  $\aleph(G)$  for special graphs are derived in sections 8. Conclusions are given in section 9.

### 1. Propositions

1. For complete graph,  $K_p$ , any order vertex natural label of graphs gives  $\aleph$ . That is all the  $p!$  Vertex labeling of graphs yields same  $\aleph$  value.
2. For a  $G(p, r)$  is  $r$ -regular graph, any order vertex natural label of graphs gives  $\aleph$ .
3. For any graph  $G$ , by assigning the labels from the label set  $\{p, p-1, \dots, 1\}$  to the VALS,  $\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$  respectively where  $d(v_{i_1}) \geq d(v_{i_2}) \geq \dots \geq d(v_{i_p})$  is one of the MVALS and corresponding MVALN is  $\aleph(G)$ .

### 3. Theorems

**Theorem 1.** For any complete graph  $K_p$  with  $p$  vertices,  $\aleph(K_p) = \frac{p(p^2 - 1)}{2}$ .

Proof: Since  $K_p$  is a complete graph with  $p$  vertices, every vertex of  $K_p$  is adjacent to all other vertices and from the proposition 1, any order labeling of the vertices of graph gives the *Maximum Vertex Adjacency Label Number (MVALN)*  $\aleph$ . Let vertices of  $K_p$  be  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$  are assigned the labels  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p$  respectively.

$$\text{The } \aleph(K_p) \text{ is given by } \sum_{\text{for each } (u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v) \tag{1}$$

$$\text{The contribution of any vertex } v_i \text{ to the } \aleph(K_p) \text{ summation is given by } (p-1)i. \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Thus } \aleph(K_p) = \sum_{(u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v) = (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i. \tag{3}$$

$$\therefore \aleph(K_p) = \frac{p(p^2 - 1)}{2}. \tag{4}$$

□

**Theorem2.** If  $G = K_{m,n}$  is a complete bipartite graph with  $m \leq n$ , then

$$\aleph(K_{m,n}) = \frac{1}{2} [m(m+n)(m+n+1) - (m-n)m(m+1)].$$

Proof: Let the vertex set  $V$  of  $K_{m,n}$  is partitioned into two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $|X| = m$  and  $|Y| = n$ . Then by proposition 3, there exist an MVALS whose associating labeling  $l$  satisfies  $l(u) \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$  for every  $u \in X$  and  $l(v) \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  for every  $v \in Y$ . Note that the contributions of  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$  to  $\aleph(K_{m,n})$  are  $l(u)m$  and  $l(v)n$  respectively. Then it

$$\aleph(K_{m,n}) = \frac{1}{2} [m(m+n)(m+n+1) - (m-n)m(m+1)]$$

follows that □

**Theorem 3.** If  $G(p, r)$  is a  $r$ -regular graph, then

- a.  $\aleph(G(p, r)) = r \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$
- b.  $\aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = (p - (1+r)) \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$
- c.  $\aleph(G(p, r)) + \aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = \aleph(K_p)$ .

Proof:

a) Case  $\aleph(G(p, r)) = r \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ : Since  $G(p, r)$  is a  $r$ -regular graph with  $p$  vertices, every vertex of  $G(p, r)$  is adjacent to  $r$  vertices and from the proposition 2, any order labeling of the vertices of graph gives the MVALN,  $\aleph$ . Let vertices of  $G(p, r)$ ,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$  are assigned the labels  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p$  respectively.

Then the contribution of vertex  $v_i$  to the  $\aleph(G(p, r))$  is given by  $ri$ . (5)

$$\text{Thus } \aleph(G(p, r)) = \sum_{(u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v) = \sum_{i=1}^p ri. \quad (6)$$

$$\therefore \aleph(G(p, r)) = r \frac{p(p+1)}{2}. \quad (7)$$

b) Case  $\aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = (p - (1+r)) \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ : As  $G(p, r)$  is a  $r$ -regular graph, then  $\overline{G(p, r)}$  is also a  $p-1-r$  regular graph. Substituting  $p-1-r$  for  $r$  in equation (7)

$$\aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = (p - (1+r)) \frac{p(p+1)}{2}. \quad (8)$$

c) Case  $\aleph(G(p, r)) + \aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = \aleph(K_p)$ : By adding (7), (8)

$$\aleph(G(p, r)) + \aleph(\overline{G(p, r)}) = \aleph(K_p). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.** If  $P_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  is a path of length  $n$ , then  $\aleph(P_n) = n(n+1) - 3$

Proof: Assign the first two natural numbers 1 and 2 to the end points of  $P_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ .

That is,  $l(v_1) = 1, l(v_n) = 2$  and assign labels to the remaining vertices  $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  from the set  $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$  in any order.

Then contribution of the end vertices  $v_1, v_n$  and any non end vertex  $v_i$  summation

$$\sum_{\text{for each } (u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v) \text{ is } 1, 2 \text{ and } 2i \text{ respectively.} \quad (9)$$

$$\therefore \aleph(P_n) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n i - 1 - 2. \quad (10)$$

$$\therefore \aleph(P_n) = n(n+1) - 3. \quad (11) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 5.** If  $C_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$  is a path of length  $n$ , then  $\aleph(C_n) = n(n+1)$ .

Proof: Assign labels to the vertices of the set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$  from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n\}$  in any order. Then contribution of any vertex  $v_i$  to the summation

$$\sum_{\text{for each } (u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v) \text{ is } 2l(v_i). \text{ Thus by adding contribution of all the vertices to the}$$

summation,  $\aleph(C_n)$  is obtained.

$$\therefore \aleph(C_n) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n l(v_i) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n i. \quad (12)$$

$$\therefore \aleph(C_n) = n(n+1). \quad (13) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 6.** If  $T(p, h)$  is a complete binary tree of  $p$  points with height  $h$ , where

$$p = 2^{h+1} - 1, \text{ then } \aleph(T) = \frac{5p^2 - 9}{4}.$$

Proof: Complete tree of height  $h$  has  $p = 2^{h+1} - 1$  vertices and among these vertices,  $2^h$  vertices are leaves. So the vertices of the tree are assigned labels from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^h, 2^h + 1, \dots, 2^h + 2^{h-1}, \dots, 2^{h+1} - 1\}$ . Assign the labels to the leaves from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^h\}$ . Then assign the label  $2^h + 1$  to the root node. Then all other non leaf and root nodes are from the set  $\{2^h + 2, \dots, 2^{h+1}\}$ .

The contribution of labels of any leaf vertex  $v_i$ , root vertex and non leaf or root vertex  $u_j$  to

the summation  $\sum_{\text{for each } (u,v) \in E(G)} l(u) + l(v)$  is  $i, 2(2^h + 1)$  and  $3(2^h + 2 + j)$  respectively.

(14)

$$\therefore \aleph(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^h} i + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{2^h-2} 2^h + j + 2(2^h + 1). \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{5(2^{h+1} - 1)^2 - 9}{4}. \quad (16)$$

Since  $p = 2^{h+1} - 1$ , substituting this in 16, we get

$$\aleph(T) = \frac{5p^2 - 9}{4}. \quad (17) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 7. Let**  $G(p, q)$  is a graph, whose vertices have degree either  $m$  or  $n$  with  $m \geq n$ . Let  $p_m$  vertices have degree  $m$  and  $p_n$  vertices have degree  $n$ . Then

$$\aleph(G(p, q)) = m \binom{p+1}{2} - (n-m) \binom{p_n+1}{2}.$$

**Proof:** Without Loss of Generality, let the vertices of  $G$   $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p_n}, v_{p_n+1}, \dots, v_p$  are labeled with  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}, \dots, p$  respectively.  $\Rightarrow$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p_n}$  are having degree  $n$  and  $v_{p_n+1}, \dots, v_{p=p_n+p_m}$  are having degree  $m$ . Then Contribution of labels of the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p_n}$  to

the  $\aleph(G(p, q))$  is  $1n + 2n + \dots + p_n n = \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} in$ . Similarly the contribution of labels of the vertices  $v_{p_n+1}, \dots, v_{p=p_n+p_m}$  to the  $\aleph(G(p, q))$  is  $(p_n+1)m + (p_n+2)m + \dots + (p_n+p_m)m = \sum_{i=p_n+1}^p (i)m$ . By

$$\text{adding these two, } \aleph(G(p, q)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} in + \sum_{j=p_n+1}^p (j)m.$$

(18)

$$= n \binom{p+1}{2} + (m-n) \binom{p+1}{2} - (m-n) \binom{p_n+1}{2}. \quad (19)$$

$$\therefore \aleph(G(p, q)) = m \binom{p+1}{2} - (n-m) \binom{p_n+1}{2}. \quad (20) \square$$

**Note1 :** By substituting  $m = p-1, n = 0, p_m = p, p_n = 0$  in equation (20), theorem (1) can be proved.

**Note 2:** By substituting  $m = r, n = 0, p_m = p, p_n = 0$  in equation (20), theorem (2) can be proved.

**Theorem 8. If**  $\zeta(G)$  is the number of MAVLS natural labeling in a graph  $G$  and let the vertex set is partitioned into  $d$  disjoint subsets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d$ , where the vertices of graph has  $d$  distinct

degrees and all the vertices of  $V_i$  has same degree  $d_i$  and  $|V_i| = p_i$ . Then  $\zeta(G) = \prod_{i=1}^d \gamma(p_i + 1)$ .

**Proof:** Arrange the degrees of the vertices of  $G$  in descending order. Without Loss of Generality, let the degree sequence be  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_d$  and corresponding vertex sets be  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d$  respectively. This implies every vertex of set  $V_k$  has degree  $d_k$  and

$\bigcup_{i=1}^d V_i = V, \bigcap_{i=1}^d V_i = \Phi$ . Assign labels to the vertices of the sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d$  from the sets

$\{\{p, p-1, \dots, p-p_1\}, \{p-p_1-1, \dots, p-p_1-p_2\}, \dots, \{p-p_1-p_2-\dots-p_{d-1}-1, \dots, 1\}\}$  respective

ly. From the proposition 3, this kind of graph labeling generates  $\aleph(G)$ . Note that, within the partition sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d$ , by permuting labels assigned to vertices of the same set can also

generate  $\aleph(G)$ . Thus there are  $p_i!$  or  $\gamma(p_i + 1)$  different ways of assigning labels to the vertices of a set  $V_i$ .

$$\text{Thus } \zeta(G) = \gamma(p_1 + 1)\gamma(p_2 + 1)\dots\gamma(p_d + 1). \quad (21)$$

$$\zeta(G) = \prod_{i=1}^d \gamma(p_i + 1). \quad (22)$$

#### 4. Corollary

1.  $\zeta(K_p) = \gamma(p + 1)$ .

Proof: All the vertices in  $K_p$  has degree  $p - 1$ . By theorem 6 and substituting  $d = 1$ ,  $V_1 = V, p_1 = |V_1| = p$  in equation 20,  $\zeta(K_p) = \gamma(p + 1)$ .

2. If  $G(p, q)$  is a  $r$ -regular graph, then  $\zeta(G) = \gamma(p + 1)$ .

Proof: All the vertices in  $G(p, q)$  has degree  $r$  By theorem 6 and substituting  $d = 1$ ,  $V_1 = V, p_1 = |V_1| = p$  in equation 20,  $\zeta(K_p) = \gamma(p + 1)$ .

3.  $\zeta(C_p) = \gamma(p + 1)$ .

Proof: By immediate consequence of corollary 2.

4.  $\zeta(P_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)) = 2\gamma(n - 1)$ .

Proof: The vertex set is partitioned into two sets with  $V_1 = \{v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}, V_2 = \{v_1, v_n\} \Rightarrow p_1 = |V_1| = n - 2, p_2 = |V_2| = 2$  and  $d = 2$ . By theorem 6 and substituting these values in equation 22,  $\zeta(P_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)) = \gamma(n - 2 + 1)\gamma(2 + 1) = \gamma(n - 1)\gamma(3)$ .  
 $\therefore \zeta(P_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)) = 2\gamma(n - 1)$ .

5.  $\zeta(K_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} \gamma(2n + 1) & \text{for } m = n \\ \beta(m + 1, n + 1)\gamma(m + n + 2) & \text{for } m \neq n \end{cases}$

Proof: Case 1 :  $m = n$ , Here, all the vertices have same degree  $n$ . By theorem 6 and substituting  $d = 1, V_1 = V, p_1 = |V_1| = 2n$  in equation 22,  $\zeta(K_{n,n}) = \gamma(2n + 1)$ .

Case 2:  $m \neq n$ , the vertex set is portioned into two sets with  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $d_1 = m, d_2 = n$ , Without Loss of Generality, let the vertices of sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has degree  $m$  and  $n$  respectively. Then  $p_1 = |V_1| = n, p_2 = |V_2| = m$  and  $d = 2$ . By theorem 6 and substituting these values in equation 22,  $\zeta(K_{m,n}) = \gamma(m + 1)\gamma(n + 1)$ , by using  $\beta(x, y)\gamma(x + y) = \gamma(x)\gamma(y), \zeta(K_{m,n}) = \beta(m + 1, n + 1)\gamma(m + n + 2)$ .

6.  $\zeta(T(p, h)) = \beta\left(\frac{(p+3)}{2}, \frac{(p-3)}{2}\right)\gamma(p)$ .

Proof: The vertex set  $V$  of  $T$  is partitioned into 3 sets  $V_1 = \{v \mid d(v) = 3, \text{vis not root or leaf node}\}, V_2 = \{v \mid d(v) = 2, \text{vis root node}\}$  and  $V_3 = \{v \mid d(v) = 1, \text{vis leaf node}\}$ . Cardinality of these sets  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  respectively needs to be determined. Total number of nodes, leaf nodes in a complete tree  $T$  with height  $h$  is given by  $p = 2^{h+1} - 1$  and  $p_3 = 2^h$  respectively and  $p_2 = 1, \therefore$  only one root node exists in  $T$ .

Hence,  $p_1 = 2^h - 2$ . By theorem 6 and substituting these values along with  $d=3$  in equation (22),  $\zeta(T(p,h)) = \gamma(2^h - 1)\gamma(2)\gamma(2^h + 1)$ . Expressing  $h$  in terms of  $p$ ,  $\zeta(T(p,h)) = \gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{p+3}{2}\right)$ .

$$\therefore \zeta(T(p,h)) = \beta\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}\right)\gamma(p+1).$$

7. Let  $G(p,q)$  is a graph, whose vertices have degree either  $m$  or  $n$ . Let  $p_m$  vertices have degree  $m$  and  $p_n$  vertices have degree  $n$ . Then

$$\zeta(G(p,q)) = \begin{cases} \gamma(p+1) & \text{for } m = n \\ \beta(p_m + 1, p_n + 1)\gamma(p_m + p_n + 2) & \text{for } m \neq n \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let  $V_1, V_2$  be the set of vertices having degree  $m$  and  $n$  respectively. By substituting  $p_1 = |V_1| = p_m, p_2 = |V_2| = p_n$  in equation 22, proof is obtained.

### 5. Recurrence Relation for $\aleph$

- $\aleph(K_{p+1}) = \aleph(K_p)\frac{p+2}{p-1}$ .
- $\aleph(P_{n+1}) = \frac{(n+2)\aleph(P_n)+3}{n}$ , for  $n > 1$ .
- $\aleph(C_{n+1}) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)\aleph(C_{n+1})$ .
- $\aleph(G(p,r+1)) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)\aleph(G(p,r))$ .

### Recurrence relation for complete bi-graph

- $\aleph(K_{n,n+1}) = \aleph(K_{n+1,n}) = \aleph(K_{n,n}) + \frac{n(7n+5)}{2}$ .
- $\aleph(K_{n+1,n+1}) = \aleph(K_{n,n}) + 6n^2 + 8n + 3$ .
- $\aleph(K_{m+1,n}) = \aleph(K_{m,n}) + (m+n+1)\frac{3m+n+2}{2} - (m+1)\frac{3m-2n+2}{2}$ , if  $m+1 \geq n$ .
- $\aleph(K_{m,n+1}) = \aleph(K_{m,n}) + (m+n+1)\frac{3n+m+2}{2} - (n+1)\frac{3n-2m+2}{2}$ , if  $n+1 \geq m$ .

### 6. Bounds for $\aleph(G)$

- For any graph  $G(p,q)$ ,  $0 \leq \aleph(G) \leq \frac{p(p^2-1)}{2}$ .
- For any connected graph  $G(p,q)$ ,  $\frac{p(p+1)}{2} \leq \aleph(G) \leq \frac{p(p^2-1)}{2}$ .
- For any graph  $G(p,q)$ ,  $\aleph(G) \leq \aleph(K_p)$  and
- For any graph  $G(p,q)$ ,  $\aleph(L(G)) \leq (p-1)\frac{\binom{p}{2}\left(\binom{p}{2}+1\right)}{2}$ .

### 7. Algorithm to compute $\aleph(G)$

Input: Adjacency Matrix  $A(G)$ , order of graph  $G, p$ .

1. Compute the degree of the each vertex of  $G$ .
2. Arrange the vertices of  $G$  by the decreasing order of their degrees.
3. Let  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}$  be the arrangement of the vertices and corresponding degree sequence be  $d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, \dots, d_{i_p}$
4.  $\aleph(G) = 0$
5. For  $k = p$  to 1
6. Begin
7.  $\aleph(G) = \aleph(G) + k * d_{i_{p-k+1}}$
8.  $k = k - 1$
9. End
10. Print  $\aleph(G)$

### 8. $\aleph(G)$ for special graphs

1. If  $W_p$  is a wheel graph with  $p$  vertices, then  $\aleph(W_p) = 5 \binom{p}{2}, \zeta(W_p) = \gamma(p)$ .
2. If  $G = \overline{L(K_5)}$  is a Peterson graph with 10 vertices, then  $\aleph(G) = 165, \zeta(G) = \gamma(11)$ .
3. If  $G = L(K_p)$  is a line graph of  $K_p$ , then  $\aleph(L(K_p)) = (p-1) \frac{\binom{p}{2} \left( \binom{p}{2} + 1 \right)}{2}, \zeta(L(K_p)) = \gamma(p+1)$ .
4.  $\aleph(\text{kuratowski's graph1}) = 60, \zeta(\text{kuratowski's graph1}) = \gamma(6)$   
 $\aleph(\text{kuratowski's graph2}) = 144, \zeta(\text{kuratowski's graph2}) = \gamma(13)$ .

### 9. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel vertex and edge natural labeling of a graph is introduced. Since labeling of graphs find applications in resource allocation, channel allocation in computer networks and communication. Exploring the application of this new labeling of graphs is under way. A useful algorithm to find  $\aleph$  of any graph is described along with some properties and relations of graphs in terms of  $\aleph$ . As part of continue to this work, edge labeling of graphs and labelings on graph operations are studied in a separate paper.

### References

1. F. Beardon, The maximum degree in a vertex-magic graph, *Austral. J. Combin.*, 30 (2004), 113-116.
2. L. W. Beineke and S. M. Hegde, Strongly multiplicative graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 21(2001), 63-75.
3. J. A. Gallian, A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16 (2009), #DS6.
4. F. Harary, **Graph theory**, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
5. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, In: **Theory of Graphs** (Internat. Symposium, Rome, July 1966),
6. J. Yellen and J. Gross, **Graph theory and its applications, Discrete mathematics and its applications**, Chapman and Hall CRC, 2005.